Engineering Education Initiatives at Kanazawa Technical College **Robert W. Songer** 2014 CDIO Asian Regional Meeting March 24 – 26, Kanazawa Institute of Technology



Arrow Why CDIO at KTC





Kosen

(College of Technology)

Quality of graduates Mobility for international scene



Fundamental Competencies for Working Persons

Generic skills for working with various people in the workplace and the local community



Fundamental Competencies for Working Persons	CDIO Syllabus v2.0
Ability to take action	2.4 Attitudes, Thought and Learning
Initiative	2.4.1 Initiative and the Willingness to Make Decisions
Ability to influence	3.1.4 Team Leadership
Ability to execute	2.4.2 Perseverance, Urgency and Will to Deliver
Ability to think through	2. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL SKILLS AND ATTRIBUTES
Ability to discover issues	2.1.1 Problem Identification and Formulation
	2.4.4 Critical Thinking
Planning skills	4.3.4 Development Project Management
	4.7.6 Planning and Managing a Project to Completion
Creativity	2.4.3 Creative Thinking
	<i>4.7.8</i> Innovation
	4.7.10 Implementation and Operation
Ability to work in a team	3. INTERPERSONAL SKILLS: TEAMWORK AND COMMUNICATION
Ability to deliver a message	3.2 Communications
Active listening skills	3.2.7 Inquiry, Listening and Dialog
Flexibility	3.2.8 Negotiation, Compromise and Conflict Resolution
Ability to grasp situations	3.1.1 Forming Effective Teams
	<i>3.1.2</i> Team Operation
	3.2.10 Establishing Diverse Connections and Networking
Self-Regulation and Discipline	2.5.1 Ethics, Integrity and Social Responsibility
	4.1 External, Societal, and Environmental Context
Ability to manage stress	2.4.5 Self-Awareness, Metacognition and Knowledge Integration
	2.4.7 Time and Resource Management

Songer, Robert and Takeshi Fujisawa. "An Interpretation of the CDIO Framework with Self-Assessment." *Creative Engineering Design Education* 13.1 (2013): 70-78.

Model Core Curriculum

Fundamental abilities, specialized abilities, and cross-disciplinary abilities with competency levels set to industry requirements





Model Core Curriculum Anticipated Efficacy

Improvement of practical and creative engineering education

Social accountability for the quality of education

A basis for students to realize their own academic progress

Smoother compatibility in the certification of transfer credits

Model Core Curriculum Anticipated Efficacy



Effective indicators for third-party evaluations, JABEE, etc.

CDIO vs. JABEE

		JABEE 2012 Criterion 1								
	CDIO Syllabus v2.0	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
1.1	Knowledge of Underlying Mathematics and Science									
1.2	Core Fundamental Knowledge of Engineering									
1.3 Advanced Engineering Fundamental Knowledge, Methods and Tools										
2.1	Analytical Reasoning and Problem Solving									
2.2	Experimentation, Investigation and Knowledge Discovery									
2.3	System Thinking									
2.4	Attitudes, Thought and Learning									
2.5	Ethics, Equity and Other Responsibilities									
3.1	Teamwork									
3.2	Communications						•			
3.3	Communications in Foreign Languages						•			
4.1	External, Societal and Environmental Context									
4.2	Enterprise and Business Context									
4.3	Conceiving, Systems Engineering and Management									
4.4	Designing									
4.5	Implementing									
4.6	Operating									

CDIO vs. JABEE

		JABEE 2012 Criteria								
	CDIO Standards v2.0	1	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	3	4.1	4.2
1.)	CDIO as Context*									
2.)	CDIO Syllabus Outcomes*									
3.)	Integrated Curriculum*									
4.)	Introduction to Engineering									
5.)	Design-Build Experiences*									
6.)	CDIO Workspaces									
7.)	Integrated Learning Experiences*									
8.)	Active Learning									
9.)	Enhancement of Faculty CDIO Skills*									
10.)	Enhancement of Faculty Teaching Skills									
11.)	CDIO Skills Assessment*									
12.)	CDIO Program Evaluation									
	* Essential standards for a CDIO program		□) We	ak Cor	relatio	on .)	Strong	Corre	elation	

Kaizen

(Continuous Improvement)



Diagram by Karn G. Bulsuk (http://www.bulsuk.com)

CDIO Standards & PDCA

Score	Description	PDCA				
5	Evidence related to the standard is regularly reviewed and	A				
5	used to make improvements.					
	There is documented evidence of the full implementation	C				
4	and impact of the standard across program components					
	and constituents.					
	Implementation of the plan to address the standard is	\square				
3	underway across the program components and					
	constituents.					
2	There is a plan in place to address the standard.	D				
1	There is an awareness of need to adopt the standard and a	U				
	process is in place to address it.					
0	There is no documented plan or activity related to the					

o standard.

"The CDIO Standards v 2.0 (with customized rubrics)." 8 December 2010. *CDIO*. 3 March 2014. http://www.cdio.org/files/document/file/CDIOStdsRubricsv2.0_2010Dec8.doc.

Authentic Learning Experiences Community Collaboration Projects

Practical Examples

Electrical & Electronics Engineering













Thank You

References

Songer, Robert and Takeshi Fujisawa. "An Interpretation of the CDIO Framework with Self-Assessment." Creative Engineering Design Education 13.1 (2013): 70-78.

"The CDIO Standards v 2.0 (with customized rubrics)." 8 December 2010. CDIO. 3 March 2014. http://www.cdio.org/files/document/file/CDIOStdsRubricsv2.0_2010Dec8.doc>.

国立高等専門学校機構. モデルコアカリキュラム(試案). 2012. <http://www.kosen-k.go.jp/pdf/mcc20120323.pdf>.

Download these slides at:

http://www.slideshare.net/RobertSonger/engineering-education-initiatives-at-ktc